A Phylogenetic Analysis of Vertebrate and Invertebrate Notch-Related Genes
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Members of the Notch gene family are thought to mediate inductive cell–cell interactions during development of a wide variety of vertebrates and invertebrates. These genes encode transmembrane proteins that appear to act as receptors and contain three repeated sequence motifs. Two of these motifs (an epidermal growth factor-like sequence and a cdc10/SWI6/ankyrin sequence) have been found in a large number of unrelated proteins, while the third motif (a lin-12/Notch/glpl-1 sequence) is unique to proteins of the Notch family. We present a phylogenetic analysis of 17 Notch-related genes from eight species that has implications as to the origins and relative functions of these genes in different species. Several independent gene duplications have occurred and at least one such duplication in the vertebrate lineage preceded the avian/mammalian divergence. Significantly, the overall organization of individual members of each internally repeated motif appears to have been conserved among species, suggesting that each repeat plays a unique role in protein function. Yet, where sequence divergence does occur among genes in vertebrate, dipteran, and nematode lineages, it may signify functional differences for specific regions in Notch-related proteins.

INTRODUCTION

Notch-related genes comprise a growing family of vertebrate and invertebrate genes. Notch was originally identified by genetic analysis as a gene important for determining cell fate during development in Drosophila melanogaster (see reviews by Artavanis-Tsakonas et al., 1991; Artavanis-Tsakonas and Simpson, 1991). Independently, the lin-12 and glp-1 genes were identified genetically as being essential for certain inductive interactions during development of Caenorhabditis elegans (Greenwald et al., 1983; Austin and Kimble, 1987; Priess et al., 1987; Schnabel, 1994); as shown by subsequent molecular work, the nematode LIN-12 and GLP-1 proteins are structurally very related to each other and to NOTCH (Yochem et al., 1988; Yochem and Greenwald, 1988). More recently, two vertebrate oncogenes, mouse int-3 and human TAN-1, have been shown to encode Notch-related proteins (Jhappan et al., 1992; Robbins et al., 1992; Ellisen et al., 1991). Additional Notch-related genes have now been isolated from rat (Weinmaster et al., 1991, 1992), mouse (Franco del Amo et al., 1992, 1993; Reaume et al., 1992; Kopan and Weintraub, 1993; Lardelli and Lendahl, 1993, 1994), Xenopus laevis (Coffman et al., 1990), humans (Stifani et al., 1992), chicken (D. Henrique and D. Ish-Horowicz, personal communication), and Lucilia cuprina (sheep blowfly) (P. Batterham, personal communication) on the basis of sequence similarity to Drosophila Notch. Notch, glp-1, and lin-12 appear to encode membrane-associated receptor proteins that mediate a variety of cell–cell interactions during development (Greenwald et al., 1983; Austin and Kimble, 1987; Priess et al., 1987; Seydoux and Greenwald, 1989; Heitzy and Simpson, 1993; Crittenden et al., 1994; Evans et al., 1994; Mello et al., 1994). Vertebrate genes likewise have vital roles during development: gene truncations of TAN-1, int-3, and Xotch are associated with hyperplasia (Ellisen et al., 1991; Jhappan et al., 1992; Robbins et al., 1992; Coffman et al., 1993) and null mutations cause embryonic lethality (Swiatkak et al., 1994).

Notch-related genes encode transmembrane proteins with three repeated sequence motifs as shown in Fig. 1 (Wharton et al., 1985; Yochem et al., 1988; Yochem and Greenwald, 1989; Coffman et al., 1990; Weinmaster et al., 1991, 1992; Franco del Amo et al., 1992, 1993; Reaume et al., 1992; Kopan and Weintraub, 1993; Lardelli and Lendahl, 1993). The extracellular domains contain 10–36 copies of an ~40-amino-acid epidermal growth factor-like (EGFL) sequence motif and 3 copies of an ~40-amino-acid lin/Notch/glp (LNG) sequence motif. The intracellular domains contain 6–7 copies of a cdc10/SWI6/ankyrin (CDC/ANK) sequence motif known in other systems to mediate protein–protein in-
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FIG. 1. Structure of a "generic" Notch-related gene in vertebrates and insects. Numbers refer to amino acid residues in the aligned set of genes. The extracellular domain contains 36 copies of the cysteine-rich EGFL motif closely followed by 3 copies of the cysteine-rich LNG motif; this region is followed by a stretch of nonrepetitive sequence. A predicted transmembrane domain of 20 amino acids is present. The cytoplasmic domain contains 6 copies of the CDC/ANK motif flanked by stretches of nonrepetitive sequence. The C. elegans genes differ in three major ways from the gene diagrammed here: lin-12 and glp-1 contain only 15 or 10 EGFL repeats, respectively; each gene has a seventh, degenerate CDC/ANK repeat (Lissemore et al., 1993); the carboxy-terminal nonrepetitive sequence is much shorter (~150 amino acids). It is not yet known whether partially sequenced Notch-related genes used in this study (see below) have the same numbers of repeated motifs as do fully sequenced genes.

interactions (Davis and Bennett, 1990; Davis et al., 1991; Thompson et al., 1991; Inoue et al., 1992; Wulczyn et al., 1992; Bours et al., 1993; Hatada et al., 1993; reviewed by Blank et al., 1992).

Here we present a phylogenetic analysis of 17 Notch-related gene sequences from eight species as well as an analysis of the sequence similarity among individual repeats of each type (EGFL, LNG, and CDC/ANK). The presence of 3 different repeated sequences (EGFL, LNG, and CDC/ANK) as well as the large number of EGFL repeats characteristic of most Notch-related proteins provides a unique opportunity for studying the origin of internally repeated motifs. Our results have implications as to the origins and relative functions of these genes in different species and of individual repeats within each gene.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Accession numbers and/or sources for the sequences used in this study are as follows: Notch, M11664 (Wharton et al., 1985); lin-12, M21478 (Yochem et al., 1988); glp-1, M25580 (Yochem and Greenwald, 1989); Notch, M38874 (Coffman et al., 1990); Notch1, X57405 (Weinmaster et al., 1991); Notch2, M93661 (Weinmaster et al., 1992); TAN-1, M73980 (Ellisen et al., 1991); hN, M99437 (Stifani et al., 1992 and C. Blaumueller and S. Artavanis-Tsakonas, personal communication); Notch-1, Z11886 (Franco del Amo et al., 1993); Notch, L02610, L02611, L02612, L02613, and L02614 (Reaume et al., 1992); mNotch, Z21925 (Kohan and Weintraub, 1993); Notch-A, X68278 (Lardelli and Lendahl, 1993); Notch-B, X68279 (Lardelli and Lendahl, 1993); int-3, M80456 (Robbins et al., 1992); Scalloped wing, P. Batterham, personal communication; C-Notch-1, C-Notch-2, D. Henrique and D. Ish-Horowicz, personal communication.

Phylogenetic analyses were performed by first aligning the amino acid sequences with the multiple alignment program Clustal V (Higgins et al., 1992) and then constructing consensus trees using programs from the tree-building package Phylip 3.5c (Felsenstein, 1989). Rates of sequence divergence were analyzed by constructing distance matrices and fitting them to two models that make different assumptions about evolutionary rates; the FITCH model assumes constant rates of divergence whereas the KITCH model makes no such assumption (Felsenstein, 1989). See Results for details.

RESULTS

Phylogeny of Notch-Related Proteins

Phylogenetic relationships were examined among 17 Notch-related genes whose sequences are available to us. The complete amino acid sequences are available for 9 genes (Drosophila Notch (Wharton et al., 1985), mouse Notch-1, human TAN-1 (Ellisen et al., 1991) and hN (Stifani et al., 1992; C. Blaumueller and S. Artavanis-Tsakonas, personal communication), rat Notch1 and Notch2 (Weinmaster et al., 1991, 1992), Xenopus Notch (Coffman et al., 1990), and C. elegans lin-12 (Yochem et al., 1988) and glp-1 (Yochem and Greenwald, 1989)), while only partial sequences are available for 8 others (listed below). Some partial sequences do not overlap; therefore, we constructed two trees using sets of genes for which we have overlapping sequence, as presented in Fig. 2. Genes for which complete sequence is available were used for both analyses, as was mouse Notch, for which sequences from noncontiguous regions spanning the entire gene are available. Incomplete gene sequences included only in the analysis presented in Fig. 2 (left) are as follows: for mouse Notch-A and Notch-B, portions of the extracellular domain including some EGFL repeats and the
FIG. 2. Phylogenetic relationships among Notch-related genes. (Left) Phylogeny of 15 genes based on extracellular sequences. (Right) Phylogeny of 13 genes based on intracellular sequences. Two separate trees were constructed to allow use of partial sequence data. Trees are not rooted. Horizontal branch lengths reflect the number of amino acid substitutions; a value of 0.1 indicates 1 amino acid substitution per 10 amino acids. The circled number indicates the bootstrap value out of 100 resamples. For clarity, the organism is indicated before each gene name (except for chicken, where C- is included in the gene name): mm, mouse; rr, rat; hs, human; lc, Lucilia cuprina (sheep blowfly); dm, Drosophila melanogaster; ce, Caenorhabditis elegans; xl, Xenopus laevis. (Below) Schematic representation of predicted Drosophila Notch protein (Wharton et al., 1985) with the three sets of repeated sequence motifs indicated as boxed regions; vertebrate genes have essentially the same structure. C. elegans genes have fewer EGFL repeats and a seventh, degenerate CDC/ANK repeat.
entire LNG region (Lardelli and Lendahl, 1993); for chicken C-Notch-1 and C-Notch-2, the LNG regions as well as some EGFL repeats from C-Notch-1 (D. Henrique and D. Ish-Horowitz, personal communication). Incomplete gene sequences included only in the analysis presented in Fig. 2 (right) are as follows: for mouse int-3, the intracellular and transmembrane domains (Robbins et al., 1992); for mouse mNotch, the CDC/ANK repeats and a unique portion of the cytoplasmic domain (Kopan and Weintraub, 1993); and for blowfly Scalloped wing, the entire gene except the EGFL repeats and the amino terminus (P. Batterham, personal communication).

Gene phylogenies were examined by first aligning the amino acid sequences of each of 17 gene products with the multiple alignment program Clustal V (Higgins et al., 1992; alignment of published sequences available in EMBL under Accession No. D519782). Consensus gene trees were constructed by (1) bootstrapping (100 times) using the BOOT program, (2) estimating distances between sequences for each sample using PROTDIST (Kimura correction), (3) forming each tree by the neighborhood-joining method of Saitou and Nei (1987), and (4) forming the majority rule consensus tree by the method CONSENSE. All four programs are from the tree-building package Phylib 3.5c (Felsenstein, 1989). Unsequenced or deleted regions were excluded only in pairwise comparisons.

Our phylogenetic analyses of both sets of genes gave compatible results, placing the 17 genes into five groups: (1) D. melanogaster Notch and L. cuprina (sheep blowfly) Scalloped wing; (2) mouse MotchA, Motch, Notch-1, and mNotch, human TAN-1, rat Notch1, chicken C-Notch-1, and X. laevis Xotch; (3) mouse MotchB, human hN, rat Notch2, and chicken C-Notch-2; (4) C. elegans lin-12 and glp-1; and (5) mouse int-3. Groups 2 and 3 must have been produced by a gene duplication event prior to the divergence of avian and mammalian lineages 300 Myr B.P. We will refer to these two groups of genes as Type 1 (mouse Motch, MotchA, Notch-1, and mNotch, rat Notch1, human TAN-1, and chicken C-Notch-1) and Type 2 (mouse MotchB, rat Notch2, human hN, and chicken C-Notch-2) vertebrate genes. The int-3 gene presumably arose by a separate duplication event within the vertebrate lineage. The C. elegans genes glp-1 and lin-12 appear to have been produced by an independent duplication event.

The four mouse Type 1 sequences (Notch-1, mNotch, Motch, and MotchA) may all correspond to a single gene, with minor sequence differences reflecting polymorphisms; some of these genes may have been recovered from different wild-type strains. With the exception of Notch-1, available sequence for mouse Type 1 genes is incomplete, as mentioned above; in particular, very small portions of mNotch and MotchA are available. In general, the placement of genes for which we have partial sequence may be less reliable than those for which we have complete sequence because comparisons are made over a relatively small portion of these genes.

Sequence Relationships among Internally Repeated Motifs

Repeated amino acid motifs presumably arise by repeated internal duplications, starting from one original sequence of each type. We examined the phylogenetic relationships among individual EGFL, LNG, and CDC/ANK repeats to determine whether unique components of different repeats have been conserved. Using those Notch-related genes for which a complete set of repeats has been sequenced, we compared each repeat within individual genes and among subsets of genes. Individual repeats of each type were defined using the published amino acid consensus sequences for each gene.

EGFL repeats. The amino acid sequences of the 36 EGFL repeats of human, mouse, and Xenopus Type 1 genes (TAN-1, Notch-1, and Xotch, respectively) were analyzed by several methods in order to gain insight into their origin and differences in their evolutionary rates. The rat Type 1 gene, Notch1, was not included in each of these analyses because it is very closely related to mouse Notch-1. A subset of these analyses was also performed to compare the 36 EGFL repeats of (1) human and rat Type 2 genes (hN and Notch2) and (2) Types 1 and 2 human and rat genes (TAN-1, hN, Notch1, and Notch2). In each case, our first approach was to construct a parsimonious phylogenetic tree for all of the sequences (36 × 2 = 72, 36 × 3 = 108, or 36 × 4 = 144). The sequences were aligned and subjected to bootstrapping (100 resamples) and a majority rule consensus parsimonious tree was constructed using the PROTPARS program (Felsenstein, 1989).

The results from these analyses showed that the integrity of nearly all EGFL repeat units has been conserved among Type 1 vertebrate genes and between Type 2 vertebrate genes. For example, all No. 8 EGFL repeats are more similar to one another than they are to any other repeat 1–36. Therefore, the 36 EGFL repeats originated before the vertebrate lineage separated because divergence in the vertebrate sequences occurred after the divergence of each repeated unit. We have found two exceptions to this general rule: (1) EGFL-16 (EGFL repeat 16) in Xenopus is more similar to EGFL-31 (Xenopus and mammalian) than to mammalian EGFL-16, and (2) EGFL-23 has diverged in the two Type 2 genes analyzed. In most instances the mouse and human repeats (from Type 1 genes) are more similar to each other than either is to the corresponding Xenopus repeat. However, in four cases (EGFL-27, -28, -30, and -31) we find different patterns; for EGFL-27 and -28, the Xenopus and mouse se-
quences are most similar, and for EGFL-30 and -31 the *Xenopus* and human sequences are most similar.

Sequence divergence among the 36 repeats is so extensive that it is not possible to determine higher order relationships among them (i.e., the pattern of duplications that produced the final 36 repeats). For example, the cluster of EGFL-8 repeats is joined to the cluster of EGFL-30 repeats with a bootstrap value of 31%; the average bootstrap value between sets of repeats is 16.1%, with a range of 2.0 to 53.6%.

The integrity of each EGFL unit has been maintained within all vertebrate genes, regardless of whether they are Type 1 or Type 2. Comparison of rat and human Type 1 and Type 2 genes with each other reveals that all but seven EGFL repeats have maintained their similarity between the two sets of genes. Six repeats (EGFL-1, -2, -3, -4, -35, and -36) have diverged significantly between the two groups while remaining conserved within each group (data not shown). One additional repeat, EGFL-23, has also diverged between Type 1 and 2 genes as well as between Type 2 genes (as mentioned above). Therefore, the 36 repeats were established before duplication of the ancestral gene to produce Types 1 and 2. Sufficient sequence divergence has occurred between EGFL repeats in *Drosophila Notch* and both the vertebrate genes and the *C. elegans* genes to prevent reliable detection of relatedness (data not shown).

To investigate further the evolution of the individual EGFL repeats, we examined the relative rates of change of different EGFL repeats in three Type 1 genes, human *TAN-1*, mouse *Notch-1*, and *Xenopus Notch*. For each of the 36 repeats, the branches connecting the three species (as shown in Fig. 5) were estimated by the maximum likelihood method. Branch lengths were then summed to estimate the total amount of evolution (i.e., $d_n + d_o + d_T$) for a given repeat since the three vertebrates diverged. Next, the variance and standard error for divergence distance in each repeat was estimated from the corresponding branch length variances. This calculation allows for a statistical comparison among repeats. Although these comparisons are not all independent, they did reveal repeats which either have changed considerably or have remained relatively unchanged in each of the three genes (Fig. 5).

There is no general trend among the three genes in terms of which repeats are divergent or well conserved (Fig. 5). In *Notch*, four repeats at the ends of the region, EGFL-1, -2, -35, and -36, as well as two internal repeats (EGFL-5 and -16), are most divergent. In general, EGFL repeats in *Notch-1* and *TAN-1* are less divergent than in *Xotch*, and in particular the terminal repeats are fairly well (*TAN-1*) or especially well (*Notch-1*) conserved. In *Notch-1*, three internal repeats (EGFL-5, -13, and -29) are most divergent; in *TAN-1*, four different internal repeats (EGFL-2, -16, -22, and -27) are most divergent. Note that three repeats are especially divergent in more than one gene: EGFL-5 in *Notch* and *TAN-1*, and EGFL-2 and -16 in *Notch* and *Notch-1*. Each gene has several well-conserved repeats: 4 in *Notch* (EGFL-8, -27, -30, and -31), 11 in *TAN-1* (EGFL-3, -8, -10, -11, -13, -14, -15, -18, -32, -33, and -34), and 9 in *Notch-1* (EGFL-4, -12, -14, -16, -17, -20, -27, -35, and -36). Again, note that three repeats are especially well conserved in more than one gene: EGFL-8 in *Notch* and *TAN-1*, EGFL-14 in *TAN-1* and *Notch-1*, and EGFL-27 in *TAN-1* and *Notch-1*.

A second analysis was performed to compare the rates of change within different lineages for any given repeat. To do so, the distance matrices of the three vertebrate sequences and the *Drosophila Notch* sequence (as an outgroup) were fitted to two models, one assuming constant evolutionary rates (KITCH) and one without assumption about evolutionary rates (FITCH). These two procedures resulted in residual sums of squares that were compared via an $F$-statistic for an approximate test of the validity of the rate constancy assumption (Felsenstein, 1989). This procedure was performed for each repeat and revealed that two repeats (EGFL-19 and EGFL-23) have significantly violated the constancy assumption. In other words, EGFL-19 and EGFL-23 have changed at different rates in the three lineages (*Xenopus*, mouse, and human). This result could be used as an indicator of sequences which may have taken on different functions.

**LNG and CDC/ANK repeats.** The amino acid sequences of the LNG and CDC/ANK repeats were analyzed by several means as described above for EGFL repeats. According to these analyses, the identity of individual LNG and CDC/ANK repeats as well as their overall organization has been maintained over a longer evolutionary period than that of the EGFL repeats. Each distinct CDC/ANK repeat has been conserved in the eight vertebrate and insect genes for which we have data (Fig. 3), while divergence has occurred in the nematode lineage (data not shown). Each distinct LNG repeat has been conserved in all 13 genes for which we have data regardless of species (Fig. 4).

Analysis of the relative divergence of LNG and CDC/ANK repeats in the Type 1 genes *TAN-1*, *Notch-1*, and *Xotch* reveals striking differences among both sets of repeats (Fig. 5). CDC/ANK-1 and -3 are essentially invariant among all three genes. In contrast, CDC/ANK-2, -4, -5, and -6 are quite variable, being well conserved in some genes and relatively variable in others. In particular, CDC/ANK-6 has diverged extensively in *Notch-1* relative to *TAN-1* and *Xotch* (Fig. 5). Among the LNG repeats there is a similar variability. LNG-3 is best conserved in all three genes. In contrast, LNG-1 and -2 are relatively divergent in *Xotch* yet well conserved in *Notch-1* and *TAN-1* (Fig. 5).
DISCUSSION

We have presented a study of the sequence relationships among 17 genes of the Notch family and among individual repeats of each three internally repeated sequence motifs (EGFL, LNG, and CDC/ANK) within those genes. By exploring the evolution of the gene family and of internally repeated sequence motifs, we aimed to provide information useful for the study of gene function. In particular, we hoped to identify specific internal regions that might be involved in genespecific function(s) or general function(s) common to all or a subset of Notch-like proteins. The results of our analysis of gene phylogeny suggest the following: (1) a gene duplication occurred in the vertebrate lineage at least as far back as the avian-mammalian divergence 300 Myr B.P.; (2) the int-3 gene arose by a separate duplication within the vertebrate lineage; (3) the pair of nematode genes, lin-12 and glp-1, were produced by an independent duplication event.

In our analysis of repeated sequences, we saw remarkable conservation of distinct repeats across taxa. The LNG repeats are best conserved, with each of three repeats found in nematodes, diptera, and vertebrates.
CDC/ANK repeats are somewhat less well conserved, with each of six repeats conserved in diptera and vertebrates; CDC/ANK repeats found in nematode genes are distinct from each other, but have diverged too much to correlate with specific repeats in other taxa. The organization of 36 distinct EGFL repeats has been maintained in diptera and vertebrates, but sufficient sequence divergence has occurred to prohibit direct correlation of each dipteran repeat with a specific vertebrate repeat. Furthermore, the vertebrate EGFL repeats appear to have been organized before any duplication of Notch-related genes occurred. Once each repeat type (EGFL, LNG, or CDC/ANK) was organized, no subsequent gene conversion event occurred in the lineage leading to any species. In general, we interpret this high conservation of repeats to mean that each repeat plays a unique role in gene function.

The tree (Fig. 3) representing the possible evolutionary relationship of the six CDC/ANK repeated units found in diptera and vertebrates can be used to postulate the sequence of events that produced the present linear structure. One possibility is that a series of duplications/deletions originating from a single unit was responsible. Although there are several hypothetical
pathways that could produce the tree (Fig. 3), one is as follows. (1) A series of three single unit tandem duplications produces a four-unit structure; (2) the first three units then duplicate in tandem, producing a set of seven repeat units; (3) the fifth unit is deleted. This hypothetical sequence of events is depicted in Fig. 6, where the growing tree of relationships is on the left and the linear sequence of the units is on the right. The extent of sequence divergence among the large number of EGFL repeats precludes any proposal about higher order relationships among them.

In carrying out a phylogenetic analysis, we are interested in identifying amino acid sequences that might be involved in either highly conserved or gene-specific functions. Several of our analyses address this question. First, seven EGFL repeats (EGFL-1, -2, -3, -4, -23, -35, and -36) vary between Type 1 and 2 vertebrate genes. Second, EGFL-23 also varies between different Type 2 genes. Third, EGFL-19 and -23 have evolved at significantly different rates in different vertebrate lineages. Fourth, a number of EGFL, LNG, and CDC/ANK repeats are particularly well conserved or divergent among all or a subset of Type 1 vertebrate genes. Assuming that all Notch-related proteins function as receptors in signal transduction pathways, we expect many protein functions to be conserved among them. However, it is not unexpected that differences might exist as well between genes in different species (e.g.,
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Drosophila Notch and rat Notch1) and, perhaps, between duplicated genes within any given species (e.g., Type 1 and Type 2 vertebrate genes). Recent studies have shown that disruption of mouse Type 1 gene expression (Notch-1 and Notch) causes embryonic lethality (Swiatek et al., 1994), meaning that the Type 1 and Type 2 genes in mouse cannot be completely redundant for function. The variable repeats identified in our analyses may be responsible, at least in part, for functional differences between Type 1 and Type 2 genes. Furthermore, sequence variation in EGFL-23 may reflect a difference in function for that repeat between Type 2 genes in different species as well. Clearly, it is of interest to know how variable EGFL-23 is among other Type 2 genes (e.g., C-Notch-2).

Molecular analysis of mutations can also be helpful in elucidating the function of specific amino acid residues or regions in a protein. There is a wealth of information about the locations of mutations that produce a variety of mutant phenotypes in Drosophila Notch (including Hartley et al., 1987; Kelley et al., 1987; Libet et al., 1992; Xu et al., 1990, 1992; De Celis et al., 1993; Rebay et al., 1993), glp-1 (Mango et al., 1991; Kodoyianni et al., 1992; Roehl and Kimble, 1993), and lin-12 (Greenwald and Seydoux, 1990; Struhl et al., 1993). However, given the divergence among genes found in diptera, nematodes, and vertebrates, it may be difficult to correlate function of individual EGFL repeats in Notch, glp-1, and lin-12 with those in the vertebrate genes. For example, several dominant, gain-of-function Notch mutations map to the highly divergent EGFL-23 (Hartley et al., 1987; Kelley et al., 1987), but it is not clear that similar mutations in the vertebrate genes would likewise produce gain-of-function phenotypes. In contrast, given the conservation of LNG repeats among dipteran, nematode, and vertebrate genes and of CDC/ANK repeats among dipteran and vertebrate genes, the functions of individual LNG and CDC/ANK repeats may be maintained within these genes. If so, then the effects on protein function of mutations in specific LNG repeats in vertebrates may be similar to their effects on NOTCH, GLP-1, or LIN-12 function; similarly, the effect of mutations in specific CDC/ANK repeats in vertebrates may be similar to their effects on NOTCH function. Unfortunately, few molecularly characterized Notch mutations cause specific alterations of a CDC/ANK or LNG repeat, and relatively few mutations in glp-1 or lin-12 alter a specific LNG repeat. However, it has been shown that an in-frame deletion of almost the entire LNG region in glp-1 results in a null phenotype (Kodoyianni et al., 1992); presumably the loss of this region in vertebrate Notch-related genes would eliminate gene function as well.

Our understanding of phylogenetic relationships among Notch-related genes is still incomplete. It remains to be seen whether Xenopus contains a Type 2 gene and whether vertebrates besides mouse contain an int-3-like gene. A fourth mouse gene, mNotch3, recently has been isolated (Lardelli and Lendahl, 1994) and a human int-3-like gene has been identified (D. Gallahan, personal communication). Analysis of additional vertebrate and invertebrate genes will broaden our understanding of the origins and functional relationships among Notch-related genes. In particular, given the large distance between insects and nematodes, analysis of Notch-related genes from other classes of invertebrates may be especially useful.
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